



# Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Chorley Community Housing

Agenda and Reports

For consideration on

**Wednesday, 8th October  
2008**

In Committee Room 1, Town Hall, Chorley

At 6.30 pm



[www.chorley.gov.uk](http://www.chorley.gov.uk)

#### **PROCEDURE FOR PUBLIC QUESTIONS/SPEAKING AT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MEETINGS**

- Questions must be submitted to the Democratic Services Section by no later than midday, two working days before the day of the meeting to allow time to prepare appropriate responses and investigate issues if necessary.
- A maximum period of 3 minutes will be allowed for a question from a member of the public on an item on the agenda. A maximum period of 30 minutes to be allocated for public questions if necessary at each meeting. This will provide an opportunity for members of the public to raise and ask questions on any issue falling within the remit of the Group.

29 September 2008

Dear Councillor

## **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY TASK GROUP - CHORLEY COMMUNITY HOUSING - WEDNESDAY, 8TH OCTOBER 2008**

You are invited to attend a meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Chorley Community Housing to be held in Committee Room 1, Town Hall, Chorley on Wednesday, 8th October 2008 commencing at 6.30 pm.

### **AGENDA**

1. **Apologies for absence**

2. **Declarations of Any Interests**

Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any personal interest in respect of matters contained in this agenda. If the interest arises **only** as result of your membership of another public body or one to which you have been appointed by the Council then you only need to declare it if you intend to speak.

If the personal interest is a prejudicial interest, you must withdraw from the meeting. Normally you should leave the room before the business starts to be discussed. You do, however, have the same right to speak as a member of the public and may remain in the room to enable you to exercise that right and then leave immediately. In either case you must not seek to improperly influence a decision on the matter.

3. **Public Questions**

Members of the public who have requested the opportunity to ask a question(s) on an item(s) on the agenda will be asked to put their question(s) to the Panel. Each member of the public will be allowed to ask one supplementary question within his/her allocated 3 minutes.

4. **Minutes (Pages 1 - 4)**

To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Chorley Community Housing held on 11 September 2008 (enclosed).

5. **Updated information from the last meeting (Pages 5 - 6)**

Information received from Chorley Community Housing and Lancashire County Council (Highways) is enclosed for consideration.

6. **Feedback session with the Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation), Corporate Director (Neighbourhoods) and Strategic Housing Manager**

To receive feedback from the Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation), Corporate Director (Neighbourhoods) and Strategic Housing Manager on issues raised during the inquiry.

7. **Collection and consideration of evidence from Members and their constituents**

Members of the Task Group will feed back any information submitted to them since the last meeting.

8. **Review of evidence considered by the Task Group so far (Pages 7 - 12)**


The Group will review the evidence considered so far to identify any gaps or contradictions. Members are requested to consider any recommendations they would like to propose for inclusion in the final report.

9. **The way forward**

Members will determine the next steps for the inquiry.

10. **Any other item(s) that the Chair decides is/are urgent**

Yours sincerely



Donna Hall  
Chief Executive

Ruth Hawes  
Assistant Democratic Services Officer  
E-mail: ruth.hawes@chorley.gov.uk  
Tel: (01257) 515118  
Fax: (01257) 515150

**Distribution**

1. Agenda and reports to all Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Chorley Community Housing (Councillor Mike Devaney (Chair) and Councillors Alistair Bradley, Harold Heaton, Kevin Joyce, Roy Lees, Marion Lowe, June Molyneaux, Rosie Russell and Stella Walsh for attendance.
2. Agenda and reports to Lesley-Ann Fenton (Assistant Chief Executive (Policy and Performance)), Gary Hall (Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation)), Zoe Whiteside (Strategic Housing Manager) and Ruth Hawes (Assistant Democratic Services Officer) for attendance.
3. Agenda and reports to Peter Malpas (Executive Member (Business)) for attendance.

**This information can be made available to you in larger print  
or on audio tape, or translated into your own language.  
Please telephone 01257 515118 to access this service.**

આ માહિતીનો અનુવાદ આપની પોતાની ભાષામાં કરી શકાય છે. આ સેવા સરળતાથી મેળવવા  
માટે કૃપા કરી, આ નંબર પર ફોન કરો: 01257 515822

ان معلومات کا ترجمہ آپ کی اپنی زبان میں بھی کیا جاسکتا ہے۔ یہ خدمت استعمال کرنے کیلئے براہ مہربانی اس نمبر پر ٹیلیفون  
کیجئے: 01257 515823

This page is intentionally left blank

## Overview and Scrutiny Task Group - Chorley Community Housing

**Thursday, 11 September 2008**

**Present:** Councillor Mike Devaney (Chair) and Councillors Alistair Bradley, Harold Heaton, Marion Lowe, June Molyneaux and Rosie Russell

**Also in attendance:** Peter Speakman (Devonport United Resident Group Chair), Christine Tyrer (Devonport United Resident Group Secretary), Gordon Farnworth (Devonport United Residents Group), Peter Hilton (Eaves Green Residents Group Treasurer), Ann James (Ryes Residents Group Secretary), Josie Snape (Ryes Resident Group) and Councillor Julia Berry

**Chorley Council officers:** Lesley-Ann Fenton (Assistant Chief Executive (Policy and Performance)) and Ruth Hawes (Assistant Democratic Services Officer)

### **08.25 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillors Roy Lees, Kevin Joyce and Stella Walsh. The Task Group sent Councillor Walsh their best wishes.

### **08.26 DECLARATIONS OF ANY INTERESTS**

No Members declared any interests in respect of items on the agenda.

### **08.27 PUBLIC QUESTIONS**

No members of the public requested to speak at the meeting.

### **08.28 MINUTES**

**RESOLVED – That the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Task Group – Chorley Community Housing held on 5 August 2008 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.**

### **08.29 FEEDBACK WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE RESIDENTS ASSOCIATIONS WITHIN CHORLEY**

The Chair welcomed the representatives from three residents associations within Chorley and thanked them for their attendance. The aim of the session was to receive feedback, about the change over from Chorley to CCH.

The Chair gave some background to the inquiry and advised the key areas being considered were the promises made to tenants when the housing stock were transferred from the Council to Chorley Community Housing.

Issues raised by the representatives included:

#### Renovations

Newly installed kitchen units were not a standard size (930cm high rather than 900cm high), meaning the surface is not flush to the cooker creating a hazard. This was only resolved by putting a piece of work top under the cooker when residents continually complained. Other complaints included bath panels not being installed, small baths and toilets not flushing.

Jobs, by different trades people, have not been completed in the right order leading to a wall unit becoming detached from the wall.

The options for refurbishment are not always understood by residents, leading to a resident having a standard bath, rather than a walk in bath.

Generally communication with contractors is an issue, with difficulties in contacting the contractor during a job and workers do not always wear a ID badge. Residents don't know when contractors are coming and can't stay at home all the time.

There is a report sheet that should be filled in reporting progress and visits, these are not always completed. During a renovation a supervisor only visited the property 8 times in 44 days. It was agreed there should be more supervision.

One resident was without a kitchen for 9 days and was not offered alternative accommodation.

On Coniston Road there are properties in need of a rewire, but are not due for renovation for 2 years. It was agreed that the Council would inform CCH of this as representatives from Adactus had undertaken to prioritise properties if required to bring them up to the decent homes standard.

Generally once work has been completed residents are happy with it.

#### Maintenance

When problems are reported to CCH residents were happy because they were informed on the same day when a repair person would be coming. If the problem was urgent a repair person would come out the same day.

In most instances the service received was better than that received from the Council, however; an instance was outlined when clearing out gutters, sods were left in next doors garden and mess all over the residents' garden,

#### Role of the residents association

The Ryes, a long established residents association, have widened their role to try and improve things further. Rather than being issue based there is a concentration on community cohesion and social events and the association has received grants to for various projects. This is something that other associations could consider doing.

A representative from CCH attends the meeting of the Devonport association every month and members of a newly created residents association attended the recent Devonport meeting.

The difficulties in setting up a resident association were considered. The contact at CCH would be the tenant involvement officer. The person has been off sick for a few months and recently a person from Adactus has been covering the post. There is a monthly magazine and a buddy scheme to encourage new resident associations.

There is a meeting next Wednesday of all residents associations. It was suggested that the meeting would be more productive if the residents associations drew up the agenda, rather than CCH. A resource room for tenants groups has been set up, but is small and security issues mean all tenants are not able to use the room.

A really positive example of support and co-operation was where an association was paying for intercoms on bungalows and CCH had paid for intercoms for flats.

The representatives advised the most important aspect they needed help with was getting people involved, in particular having someone to lead the residents association. It also helped having the Borough Councillors involved.

It was noted that there isn't a residents association on Harrison Road and this was on the border of two Borough wards.

#### Anti-social behaviour

In the main a positive response was reported in the way that CCH dealt with anti-social behaviour. Every month there is a antisocial behaviour meeting in each area. It was reported that so far two cases had gone to court to evict tenants this year.

#### Litter / rubbish

Litter was an issue after the recycling collection. Ad hoc arrangements were in place for litter picking with various parish councils. Additional litter bins were requested, but with a plea for regular emptying.

#### Streetscene issues

There were pensioners gardens that have not been mowed for two months and with the cut and collect scheme. A request was made for trees and nettles to be pruned at



a path on St Gregorys Place. This will be reported to Lancashire County Council. The ownership of the land needs to be clarified, officers would take advice on this and report back to a future meeting.

The maintenance of footpaths was generally an issue. It was reported that repaving undertaken near the Ryes using a slurry surface as the weeds are now growing through it and older residents could slip on it.

#### Car Parking

There were several areas where additional car parking was required, including Eaves Green. The resolution of the issue would solve a number of related problems as tenants would be likely to take ownership of the parking area, leading to a reduction of litter.

#### In Conclusion

The Chair thanked the representatives for their time and feedback and noted that generally residents were happy with the service provided by CCH.

### **08.30 INFORMATION FROM CHORLEY COMMUNITY HOUSING**

The Chair advised that Richard Houghton had been appointed the Director of Operations at CCH.

Updated information on queries raised by the Group had been received and would be sent out to Members with the minutes of the meeting.

**RESOLVED – That the update be noted.**

### **08.31 COLLECTION AND CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE FROM MEMBERS AND THEIR CONSTITUENTS**

Members advised that the biggest problem raised over the last few weeks was about the grass and verge cutting and mess left by workers. Lesley-Ann advised that the weather had meant the grass couldn't be cut. A similar situation had occurred a few years ago and it had been hoped that the problem had been resolved with new machines. It was AGREED to invite Ishbel Murray, Corporate Director (Neighbourhoods) to the next meeting for an update on this and other issues relating to CCH.

### **08.32 THE WAY FORWARD**

The progress of the inquiry was discussed and Members advised they felt reassured after meeting with Paul Lees from CCH that changes would be made throughout the organisation.

It was AGREED that the next meeting be held on Tuesday 7 October and that the Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation), Corporate Director (Neighbourhoods), Strategic Housing Manager and Executive Member (Business) be invited to attend.

It was AGREED that the Group have two further meetings on Wednesday 12 November and Thursday 11 December.

Chair

This page is intentionally left blank

Other information received from Chorley Community Housing

Have there been any requests for traffic calming measures / road safety schemes for CCH residents?

Requests for traffic calming measures are passed through to the Highways department at Lancashire County Council. I am aware of the following:

- traffic calming on Greenside/Barnside, Euxton in conjunction with our proposed car parking improvements on that estate.
- we passed a request for traffic calming measures on Acresfield, Adlington through but this was knocked back as there are already traffic calming measures on Windsor Avenue.
- we are looking at traffic calming as part of the improvements to Longfield Avenue, Coppull in order to restrict the use of motorbikes on the estate.
- Cllrs Edgerley and Lowe have agreed to request measures for Thornhill Road, Larch Avenue and Northgate Drive on behalf of residents there.
- residents at St Gregory's Place asked for 20 mph signs. LCC have agreed to paint this on the roads but the work has not yet been done.
- residents from Buttermere Avenue and Grasmere Terrace have asked for speed bumps and the speed lowered following the provision of a children's play area. LCC have indicated that they will (subject to resident consultation) carry out the works.

How often do CCH litter pick / empty rubbish bins on CCH estates?

We have arrangements with Coppull and Euxton parish councils for a weekly estate tidy up, which includes litter picking and emptying of bins. Emptying of all other bins is, I believe, undertaken by Chorley BC. Litter picking on land owned by CCH other than the arrangements with the parish council listed above is done under the grounds maintenance contract between CCH and Chorley BC, under which the grass is cut 15 times a year between spring and autumn and any litter is picked up before the grass is cut.

When Paul Lees came to the last meeting he gave an update on the progress of reorganisation in relation to staffing – is the process now complete?

Not quite. Recruitment to the Director of Operations post is underway and should be concluded by the end of August 2008. Sharon Simmonds has been appointed Interim Area Manager, with Charlie Woska as Assistant Area Manager. The post of Repairs Service Manager (which will oversee the DLO) is currently being recruited to.

What was the percentage response to the residents' perception scheme?

The response rate was just over 10% of all CCH residents.

Is there an update to the delivery of promises to tenants' document?

This report is updated quarterly and the next update will be produced in early October 2008 and reported to the CCH Board on 21<sup>st</sup> October 2008.

*This report will be presented to the CCH scrutiny meeting in November.*

Richard Houghton

Director of Operations, Chorley Community Housing, 24 - 26 Gillibrand Street, Chorley, PR7 2EJ

Telephone: 01257 414893

Fax: 01257 414958

E-mail: richard.houghton@chorleych.co.uk

Update from Councillor Stella Walsh

A meeting is taking place Thursday 21 August with Cllr Ken Ball, Director, staff and Police and a further meeting is taking place with residents at the village hall on 2nd September so we are moving forward to resolve the problems. We also had a police surgery last Thursday which included Ken and myself, police, Community Housing, environmental staff on Longfield.

Information from Lancashire County Council (Highways)Greenside/Barnside, Euxton traffic calming on in conjunction with our proposed car parking improvements on that estate.

No requests have been received for this estate to my knowledge, and on the whole the estate is naturally traffic calmed with short culs de sac and parked vehicles.

Acresfield, Adlington we passed a request for traffic calming measures on through but this was knocked back as there are already traffic calming measures on Windsor Avenue.

This stretch of carriageway has been assessed during the safety audit processes when the Park Road 20mph scheme was designed and not considered suitable or in need of further measures owing to the nature of the road and existing parked vehicles.

Longfield Avenue, Coppull we are looking at traffic calming as part of the improvements in order to restrict the use of motorbikes on the estate.

Further information has been requested on this.

Thornhill Road, Larch Avenue and Northgate Drive Cllrs Edgerley and Lowe have agreed to request measures on behalf of residents there.

This estate has been assessed and does not feature highly on our prioritisation. Please see attached email sent to the members on this estate. Also if CCH were to agree to fund traffic calming we would be fully supportive and already have some scheme drawings that were prepared when we worked for Chorley and had a Capital pot for such schemes, although this was removed when we were TUPE transferred to Lancashire County Council.

St Gregory's Place residents have asked for 20 mph signs. LCC have agreed to paint this on the roads but the work has not yet been done.

Not aware of this agreement as the estate would need approximately 6 speed humps to make the 20mph zone self enforceable.

Buttermere Avenue and Grasmere Terrace residents have asked for speed bumps and the speed lowered following the provision of a children's play area. LCC have indicated that they will (subject to resident consultation) carry out the works.

This is correct, we are presently consulting with residents on this estate and have already received many letters of support. We hope to advertise the proposals in the next month.

**Tuesday, 10 June 2008**

Councillor Mike Devaney (Chair) and Councillors Alistair Bradley, Harold Heaton and Rosie Russell, Kevin Joyce and June Molyneaux

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Task Group considered an extract of the report submitted to Executive Cabinet on 29 May 2008 on the performance of key partnerships.

The report monitored those promises made to tenants by Chorley Council and contractually agreed and delivered by Chorley Community Housing. The report for the year reported all 6 themes as being on target, but did not include evidence to back up these claims.

Members discussed the need to focus on key areas of the contract and evidence that customers are receiving the services they have been promised.

**SCOPING EXERCISE**

Members discussed several areas where issues had been raised and these were fed into the scoping document.

The Group **AGREED** the following:

Objectives:

To investigate and evidence that the service promises made by Chorley Council and provided under contract by Chorley Community Housing are being delivered to tenants. We agreed to focus on:

- Delivery of home improvements, including the modernisation of empty housing and it's return to use.
- Service improvement,
- Tenant involvement in decision making and
- Regeneration, including the supply of 40 affordable / rented homes per year, in addition to those provided by windfall developments from private developers.

Desired Outcomes:

To have documentary evidence that the services delivered to our customers meet those promised by Chorley Council.

To make recommendations in areas where improvements are required, if any.

To identify any barriers Chorley Community Housing face in delivering their services and any solutions Chorley Council can provide.

Terms of Reference:

To consider themes within the objectives identified.

To make recommendations, if appropriate.

To report findings and recommendations to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Documents/evidence:Delivery of home re-improvements

Details of properties vacant as in need of refurbishment.

What are the timescale for getting the properties into habitable use.

Offers available and take up.

Service improvement

CCH actual targets and performance for repairs response, benchmarking information.

Equality and diversity issues: are customers' needs being met?

How are houses allocated?

Tenant involvement in decision making

Survey information from Chorley Community Housing and Councillors' contact with tenants.

Regeneration

Progress on the provision of affordable housing units.

Witnesses:

Chair of the Chorley Community Housing Board – Ann James.  
 Managing Director of Chorley Community Housing.  
 Chorley Council officers:  
 Assistant Chief Executive – Gary Hall.  
 Corporate Director of Governance - Andrew Docherty.  
 Strategic Housing Manager – Zoe Whiteside

Consultation/Research:Delivery of home re-improvements

How are the targets constructed i.e. if an offer for improvements isn't taken up.

Service improvement

What has been the impact on staff transferred from Chorley Council?

Tenant involvement in decision making

If there is no survey information available on the views of a cross section of tenants the Task Group may commission a survey.

To be considered: Chorley Community Housing newsletter to tenants and minutes of community meetings between Chorley Community Housing and tenants.

Regeneration

Progress on the provision of affordable housing units.

Site Visits:

Visit to properties where repairs and or improvements have been carried out.

**Wednesday, 9 July 2008**

Councillor Mike Devaney (Chair) and Councillors Alistair Bradley, Harold Heaton, Roy Lees, Marion Lowe, June Molyneaux and Rosie Russell, Pat Haughton

**CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT SCOPING DOCUMENT**

The task group considered the draft scoping document drawn up at the last meeting.

Members highlighted the areas the inquiry would focus on. In particular Members were concerned about the number of properties currently vacant, including those requiring renovation. Another key area would be the strategy and progress on delivery of forty new properties to be made available each year.

**INFORMATION ON THE CONTRACT BETWEEN CHORLEY COUNCIL AND CHORLEY COMMUNITY HOUSING**

The task group received written information from the Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation) on the contract between Chorley Council and Chorley community Housing, including the promises made to tenants.

The task group group took five minutes to digest the tabled report and it was AGREED that the papers be considered further at the next meeting along with the report presented to the first meeting of the group was requested as part of the agenda for the next meeting.

The members stressed the need for facts and figures supporting the promises made to tenants. It was AGREED that the information be requested from Chorley Community Housing for the next meeting.

**COLLECTION AND CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE FROM MEMBERS AND THEIR CONSTITUENTS**

The Chair invited Councillors to share issues raised with them by constituents.

Councillor Roy Lees advised that several concerns had been raised during meetings he had attended, including the provision of on-street parking. He undertook to send a note of the issues to the Democratic Services officer for inclusion in the minutes.

It was reported that a tenants questionnaire from Chorley Community Housing had been met with mainly negative responses. The details of this survey were requested, including details of the sample of tenants, questions, responses and any other survey information available.

Members heard that a particular neighbourhood officer was not attending meetings when invited or helping tenants when they had issues with neighbour tenants, even where the police have been involved. A dedicated anti-social behaviour team has been set up.

Councillor June Molyneaux advised she had spoken to a gentleman called Bert, who had helped to set up residents groups in the Adlington area in particular. It was reported that tenants could be supported better in creating their residents groups. Information on the attendance of Chorley Community Housing representatives at residents meetings, the process for meetings to happen, any buddy schemes for new tenant groups their frequency and future plans in this area was requested. Also, details of any meetings with residents that the task group can attend.

The task group discussed specific questions to ask a Chorley Community Housing representative who would be invited to attend the next meeting. Updated information on the promises tracking report was requested with supporting facts and figures that these have been delivered and progress on those not completed. Copies of recent newsletters to tenants were also requested.

#### 1. Residents car parking spaces

- Where and when will the spaces be completed?
- Who decides where the spaces are located?
- How will the strategy for parking within 5 years be delivered?

#### 2. Vacant properties

- How many were uninhabitable when Chorley Community Housing took over?
- How many are habitable now?
- How long and why are properties vacant?
- When they will be ready to let?
- What is the strategy for completing the renovations?

#### 3. Residents associations

- How many now are there now?
- How do you know if they are working well?
- How do you plan to support them in the future?

#### 4. Anti social behaviour team

- How does it operate?
- How do tenants know about this service: who to contact, services available?
- How are tenants vetted to reduce the risk of anti social behaviour?

#### 5. Allocation of properties

- How are they allocated pre and post tenancy?

#### 6. Renovations

- What is the policy to rehouse tenants whilst work is being done on their property?

#### 7. Partial renovations

- If a tenant is offered a new bathroom or kitchen and refuses how is this recorded by Chorley Community Housing?

#### 8. Feedback from tenants

- How do you collect, monitor and take action on feed back from tenants on work done their properties?

9. Affordable housing

- What is the strategy and progress on the delivery of 40 affordable homes each year?

10. Neighbourhood caretakers

- What are these and what do they do?

11. Rent

- Do tenants pay increased rent after refurbishment?

Question for Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation)

- How can Chorley Council help Chorley Community Housing to deliver their annual target of 40 new affordable homes per year.
- What is the definition of affordable homes?

**THE WAY FORWARD**

**RESOLVED –**

1. That a representative from Chorley Community Housing be invited to attend the next meeting to respond to the questions identified.
2. Details of any residents meetings scheduled would be given to members to enable them to attend prior to the next meeting.
3. The minutes of meetings be emailed to members as soon as possible so that they can action any points allocated to them.
4. Copies of previous reports would be presented on the agenda for the next meeting.

**Tuesday, 5 August 2008**

Councillor Mike Devaney (Chair) and Councillors Alistair Bradley, Harold Heaton, Kevin Joyce, Roy Lees, Marion Lowe, June Molyneaux, Rosie Russell and Stella Walsh, Julia Berry and Pat Haughton

Paul Lees (Group Chief Executive), Annabelle Robinson (Group Housing Management Director) and Morna Maines (Project Manager)

**INFORMATION ON THE CONTRACT WITH CHORLEY COMMUNITY HOUSING, THE FORMAL CONSULTATION ON THE TRANSFER OF COUNCIL HOMES AND STOCK TRANSFER MONITORING REPORT**

Members noted the documents enclosed with the agenda:

- a, inquiry scoping document,
- b, briefing note from the Assistant Chief Executive (Business Transformation) Chorley Community Housing contract and promises to tenants,
- c, Delivery of promises to tenants one year on from stock transfer (26th April 2008).

**INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM CHORLEY COMMUNITY HOUSING**

The Chair introduced Paul Lees, Annabelle Robinson and Morna Maines from Adactus to the meeting and thanked them for the information received so far.

It was considered that several of the concerns listed as questions had been adequately answered within the information CCH had supplied.

Reorganisation

Paul outlined the recent reorganisation of CCH which made the organisational structure more like the Adactus model, with a reduction in the management tier and increased staffing on the service delivery front. It was noted that communication had previously been an issue for customers both with CCH and contractors used. Assurances were given that this issue was being addressed and if there were any specific cases Members could contact Morna.

Performance

In response to a queries it was clarified that the performance statistics reported as year 0 were for 2007 2008 and the year was mainly fact finding. Surveys were completed, the



contractor was appointed and consultation was underway. The figures within the report were work achieved and based on the first quarter of 2008 2009 (year 2).

#### Partial renovations

Members received assurances that CCH was anxious to consider alternative accommodation needs when properties were being renovated and acknowledged past shortcomings had been addressed. The importance of recording renovations, including where tenants requested that certain work should not be done was accepted and recorded. It was also established that there had been little feedback from tenants and it was therefore assumed that the work done was satisfactory. However, some concerns were expressed by Councillors. Officers from CCH were also concerned that any dissatisfaction was passed to them for investigation.

A particular issue was the state of the central heating systems, including boilers over 10 years old and the compatibility between the actual heating installation and the central heating unit. CCH stated they were aware of the problem and were working as quickly as possible to upgrade older heating systems. This work was being undertaken in a well programmed manner, but this was being made more difficult by having to react to older boiler breakdowns.

It was clarified that if tenants do not take up the offer of a new kitchen or bathroom (for example as they have just redecorated) and the work being offered is being done to all properties the money not spent on a particular property will be ring fenced to keep the money in the renovations account. Accurate records are kept about work done to each property for the decent homes standard.

The appointment system for maintenance was still in place. CCH have their own maintenance workforce and felt this was important as experience showed a better quality of work than contractors. Despite a restructure, the maintenance staff were very positive and it was promised that a marked improvement in performance would be seen over next few months.

Some partial renovation has been done in all areas of the Borough, though going forward the focus will be area by area. It was acknowledged that if there are houses requiring work to bring them up to standard, but not in the programme of work for this year, these would be reviewed on a case by case basis. A project manager monitors the performance of the contractor and quarterly key performance indicator reports will now be presented to the CCH board for monitoring purposes.

#### Total refurbishment

It was confirmed that the three houses requiring total refurbishment in Charnock Richard would be subject to a survey soon although the project was not in the budget for this year. It was confirmed that it was not in the long term plan to sell them, although shared ownership could be considered after refurbishment.

#### Residents' car parking spaces

One of the areas highlighted by the survey carried out by CCH is a lack of residents car parking spaces. The resolution of the issue would solve a number of related problems as tenants would be likely to take ownership of the parking area, leading to a reduction of litter. 168 car parking spaces had been identified through providing hard standing areas for residents. It was recognised that car parking issues would never be resolved to satisfy everyone.

#### Residents associations

Members were surprised that there are only three formal residents associations. CCH do give assistance when requested, including attending meetings, finding venues for meetings, setting up constitutions etc. Residents could invite the ward councillor if they wished. Councillor Walsh advised that a residents association was in the process of being set up in Longfield, Coppull.

Affordable housing.

It was reported that 38 houses currently on site to date and that the target of 40 would be achieved, with the probability of 2 or 3 more. CCH were committed to providing affordable housing and it was acknowledged that Adactus are an experienced builder. There was potential to acquire land to build more easily in the current financial climate. Adactus are also in discussions with the Council regarding any suitable land.

Antisocial behaviour

Concerns were expressed about anti-social behaviour and clarity was sought in the difference in criteria applied to new tenants (up to 12 months) and tenants of longer than 12 months. After this point tenants have assured tenancy and therefore more rights. Assurances were given that it was the policy of CCH not to tolerate anti-social behaviour and that a dedicated team was in place to combat this. There were currently around 90 cases, ranging from garden disputes to drugs issues.

Lesley-Ann queried whether CCH felt they were receiving sufficient backup from other agencies, in particular Lancashire County Council, and it was agreed that she would have further discussion with Annabelle to see if any further improvements could be made. Discussions would also consider how partnership working could be improved over issues like anti social behaviour and residents car parking.

After the question and answer session, Paul Lees explained the current policy of the reorganised CCH and how this would improve their service to tenants and make them more accessible to tenants. Members were assured that a marked improvement would be seen in the next few months and years. CCH will be based in Chorley for the next five years at least.

Paul explained that talks were in progress to increase the number of properties within the remit of CCH to make the organisation more of an equal partner in the Adactus group. This would increase resources in areas such as anti social behaviour, maintenance team etc. Services such as human resources would be provided elsewhere in the Adactus group to allow CCH to focus on delivering the service to the customer.

The Chair, on behalf of the Task Group, thanked the officers for the honest and open discussion.

### **COLLECTION AND CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE FROM MEMBERS AND THEIR CONSTITUENTS**

Members noted the positive response to queries by the CCH representatives.

Councillors Walsh and Lees outlined issues raised with them in relation to anti social behaviour. Contact had been made with CCH and the problems were in the process of being resolved.

Members discussed the importance of partnership working on issues such as anti social behaviour, car parking, speeding motorists and litter.

It was queried how long the Council would receive a percentage of the funds made from the sale of houses. This would be reported to a future meeting.

Members AGREED to continue to gather evidence from their constituents to be reported back at the next meeting.

### **THE WAY FORWARD**

Members requested the following for the next meeting of the task group:

- Representatives from the three residents associations be invited to receive feedback on their experiences.
- An up to date contacts list from CCH.